## The High Court Of Madhya Pradesh

WP-4333-2019

(JANDEL SINGH RATHORE Vs THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH)

1

Gwalior, Dated: 01-03-2019

Shri Suresh Agrawal, Counsel for the petitioner.

Shri R.K. Soni, Government Advocate for the respondents No.1 to 3/State.

Shri Nakul Khedkar, Counsel for the respondent No.14.

The counsel for the petitioner is directed to serve a copy of petition by way of advance notice to Shri Nakul Khedkar.

Shri Deepak Khot is the Standing Counsel for the Municipal Corporation, Gwalior, therefore, the counsel for the petitioner is also directed to supply the advance notice to Shri Deepak Khot, Advocate.

Issue notice to the respondents No.5 to 13 and 15 on payment of process fee by registered as well as ordinary mode. Process fee be paid during the course of the day.

The State Counsel appearing for respondents No.1 to 3 is directed to file its return.

The counsel for the petitioner is also directed to supply an advance notice to Shri Nakul Khedkar who is also directed to seek instructions in the matter and to file its return by the next date of hearing.

Apart from other submissions, it is submitted by the counsel for the petitioner that in the picture the name of his grandfather namely Maan Singh has been used without the permission and knowledge of the petitioner and from the trailers which were released, it appears that the image of Maan Singh has been tarnished by wrong picturization of his character.

Accordingly, the respondents No.6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13 are directed to bring the original DVD of the film so that the joint screening of the petitioner as well as the respondents can be organized, if occasion arises.

Heard on I.A.No.945/2019 which is an application for substituted

service of respondents No.5 to 13 and 15.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed. The petitioner is permitted to serve the respondents No.5 to 13 and 15.

It is directed that on payment of process fee during the course of day by registered as well as ordinary mode and also for sending the notices by fax/Electronic Mail Service as provided under Order V Rule 21 of CPC, the notices be issued to the respondents No.5 to 13 and 15.

Looking to the controversy involved in the matter list this case on **5.3.2019** along with W.P.No.4334/2019.

(G.S. AHLUWALIA) JUDGE

