## IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CS(OS) 256/2018

D B CORP LIMITED

..... Plaintiff

Through: Senior Advocate, Mr. Hemant Singh, Mr. Neeraj Kishan Kaul, Senior Advocate with Mr. Neeraj Malhotra, Advocate, Advocate, Advocate, **Advocate** Advocate and Ms. Mr. Ms Mr. **Nishant** Bisu Ankita Aastha Mattam, Kumar, Chawla, Babna,

versus

FORUM FOR MEDIA AND LITERATURE & ORS Through ..... Defendants

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VALMIKI J. MEHTA
ORDER
24.05.2018

## I.A. No.7322/2018 (exemption)

Exemption allowed subject to just exceptions

I.A. stands disposed of.

## +CS(OS) No.256/2018 and I.A. No.7323/2018 (stay)

2 communications conversations between persons since in many cases, and Learned senior counsel for the plaintiff argues that tele-

CS(OS) No.256/2018

page 1 of 4

Court Master 1979

the was correct position in fact, the defendants cannot rely upon the same as if it was particular ideology whereas the fact of the matter is that there does not arise It is no.1 and its agents had with the persons stated in the e-mail dated 10.5.2018. necessarily should be taken as that of the plaintiff company itself and which S endeavour of the defendants to arm-twist the plaintiff for illegal benefit . dated 10.5.2018 and the related communications there is a whiff/scent of the Dainik Bhaskar Group of Publications. which is object of the defendants is to sully the reputation of the plaintiff company which is not illegal cannot prevent, assuming for the sake of arguments such situation existed, to have a particular ideology. also argued on behalf of the plaintiff that it is not necessary that any and all any issue of any fake news, with the fact that having an ideology only and the correct intention of the persons with whom the defendant in this also argued that essentially either the stand of the defendants will be of news talk a company having established reputation because it publishes the of being case, without any intention necessarily for the same to be an agent or employee generated or news or staff of the generated which would reflect It is also argued that in the e-mail It is argued that entire plaintiff company 



CS(OS) No.256/2018

page 2 of 4

journalism, and once if the said e-mail dated 10.5.2018 or the documentary else, holding different positions of employment in the plaintiff company. It is has a separate and independent existence apart from individuals who may be irreparable loss and injury will be caused to the reputation of the plaintiff domain, thereafter it can be so done by the defendants dated 10.5.2018 or any other information ought to be released in the public Court finds after hearing the parties that the said documentary or the e-mail granted, no such injury will be caused to the defendants because in case this loss and injury will be caused to the plaintiff if no exparte interim orders are itself would become infructuous. therefore, the plaintiff if is not granted the exparte interim orders, the suit "Operation 136: Part II" is allowed to be released in public domain, then, further argued on behalf of the plaintiff that defendants are, if not anything which cannot be undone. only the intending to enter into area of sensationalism and sensational documentary "Operation 136: Part II" It is argued that the defendants are It is also argued that whereas irreparable on 25.5.2018, going to and

ယ further orders unless varied by the Court, defendants are restrained from in In view of the arguments urged on behalf of the plaintiff, till

CS(OS) No.256/2018

page 3 of 4



3 of Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (CPC) within three days communications. Plaintiff will comply with the provision of Order 39 Rule releasing in public domain the e-mail dated 10.5.2018 and other related tele-3.00 P.M. and defendants are also further restrained from in any manner Part II" in any manner including at the Press Club of India on 25.5.2018 at any manner releasing in public domain the documentary "Operation 136:

- the defendants, on filing of process fee, both in the ordinary method as well 4. as by registered post AD, returnable on 4th July, 2018 Summons in the suit and notices in the application be issued to
- Dasti under the signatures of the Court Master.

S

VALMIKI J. MEHTA, J

MAY 24, 2018

Ze

CS(OS) No.256/2018

High Court Master
New Delhi

page 4 of 4