

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Writ Petition(s) (Criminal) No(s).186/2017

MANOHAR LAL SHARMA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SANJAY LEE LA BHANSALI

Respondent(s)

Date : 20-11-2017 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUDFor Petitioner(s) Mr. Manohar Lal Sharma-in-person
Ms. Suman, Adv.For Respondent(s) Mr. Harish N. Salve, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Mahesh Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. Ankur Saigal, Adv.
Mr. Akshay Patil, Adv.
Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Vivek Jain, Adv.
Ms. Aastha Mehta, Adv.Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Malhotra, Adv.
Mr. Utkarsh Chandna, Adv.
Ms. Liz Mathew, Adv.
Mr. M.F. Philip, Adv.UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard Mr. Manohar Lal Sharma, Advocate, appearing in person and Mr. Harish N. Salve, learned senior counsel, Mr. Shyam Divan, learned senior counsel being assisted by Mr. Mahesh Agarwal who have entered caveat.

In the course of hearing, we have been apprised that the film in question, i.e., 'Padmavati' has not yet received the Certificate from the Central Board of Film Certification.

In view of the aforesaid, our interference in the writ petition will tantamount to pre-judging the matter which we are not inclined to do. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

Needless to say, on going through the pleadings, we think it apposite to strike off what has been stated in paragraph nos. 17, 18, 19, 20, 21 and 22 in entirety and what has been struck off by this Court should not be used otherwise. Pleadings in a court are not meant to create any kind of disharmony in the society which believes in the conceptual unity among diversity.

(Subhash Chander)
AR-cum-PS

(H.S. Parasher)
Assistant Registrar