\$~13

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CS(COMM) 861/2018

ZEE ENTERTAINMENT ENTERPRISES LTD. Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Parag P. Tripathi, Sr. Adv. with

Mr. Sudhir Mishra, Ms. Petal Chandhok, Mr. Srinivasan Ramaswamy and Mr. Amal Nair,

Advs.

Versus

MUSICAL.IY, INC. & ORS Defendants

Through: Mr. Saikrishna Rajagopalan, Mr

Himanshu Bagai and Ms. Riddima

Sharma, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

ORDER

%

01.06.2018

IA No.8042/2018 (of plaintiff u/O XXXIX R-2A CPC)

- 1. Issue notice.
- 2. Notice is accepted by the counsel for the defendants No.1&2.
- 3. The counsel for the defendants No.1&2 states that the impugned contents may be user generated.
- 4. I have drawn the attention of the counsel for the defendants No.1&2 to the dicta of the Supreme Court in *Sabu Mathew George Vs. Union of India* 2017 SCC OnLine 1545 mentioned in the post-script to my order in *Kent RO Systems Vs. Amit Kotak* 2017 SCC OnLine 8016 and which appears to suggest that a direction to block, even user generated contents, can be issued.

CS(COMM) 861/2018

- 5. The counsels to, on the next date of hearing, come prepared to address on the said aspect.
- 6. Reply be filed within eight weeks.
- 7. Rejoinder thereto, if any be filed before the next date of hearing.
- 8. The defendants No.1&2 to ensure that there is no further breach of the statement made on 14th May, 2018 and which will be viewed seriously by this Court.
- 9. List on 26th September, 2018.

RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J.

JUNE 01, 2018

Bs..

CS(COMM) 861/2018 Page 2 of 2