## IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

CS(OS) 937/2012

**VIACOM 18 MOTION PICTURES ..... Plaintiff** 

Through: Mr.Akhil Sibal and Mr.Harshvardhan

Jha, dvocates

versus

JYOTI CABLE NETWORK and ORS ..... Defendant

**Through** 

**CORAM:** 

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SISTANI

ORDER

11.04.2012

IA.No.6433/2012 (u/O.26 R.9 CPC)

Dismissed as not pressed at this stage.

**IA.No.6434/2012 (EXEMPTION** 

Allowed subject to just exceptions.

Application stands disposed of.

CS(OS) 937/2012 and IA.No.6432/2012 (STAY)

Issue summons in the suit and notice in the application to

defendants, returnable on 18.07.2012.

Counsel for the plaintiff submits that plaintiff is engaged in the business of production and distribution of cinematograph films and other entertainment businesses. Plaintiff is the co-producer of cinematograph film ?Bittoo Boss? along with wide Frames Pictures. Plaintiff has copyright over the said film. Counsel further contends that in view of??the past experience plaintiff apprehends that by using advanced??technology, the movie ?Bittoo Boss? would be copied and distributed in the market on DVDs/CDs as also exhibited on cable and internet by ?defendant nos. 1 to 5 and other unknown persons who have been impleaded as defendant nos. 6 to 30 in the assumed name Ashok Kumar. Mr.Sibal next submits that in case the film is shown on cable, internet and/or through any other medium by the persons, who are not being authorized by the plaintiff to do so, cine goers may not go to theaters to watch the film, resulting in huge financial losses to the plaintiff.

It has also been submitted by counsel for the plaintiff that factum of copying and distributing the film by such unscrupulous persons on CDs/DVDs/Blue-ray discs/VCDs and through various other mediums has been noticed in the past in respect of new releases not only by the plaintiff

but other producers as well. It ?is contended that with regard to such unknown persons ?John Doe? practice has to be resorted to, which is otherwise well recognized not only in India but in various other countries such as United States of America, Canada, England and Australia.

I have heard counsel for the plaintiff and also perused the plaint, application and the documents filed along with the plaint. I do find force in the submission made by counsel for the plaintiff. In Taj Television vs. Rajan Mandal and Ors. 2003 FSR 22 at page 407 principles of John Doe order has been recognized and followed for passing appropriate directions against such unknown and unscrupulous cable operators. A Single Judge of this Court in CS (OS) No. 821/2011??titled UTV Software Communications Limited vs. Home Cable Network Ltd. and Ors., has noted that court has

## CS(OS) 937/2012 2/4

jurisdiction to pass an order in nature of?a ?John Doe? injunction order against unknown persons in the circumstances, as has been pleaded by the plaintiff in the present case. Past practice of unauthorized persons indulging in such illegal activities of copying the film on CDs/DVDs/Blue-ray discs and distributing the same has also been taken note in the said order. One can also not lose sight of the fact that film piracy in respect of such new release is not uncommon and judicial note of this fact can be taken. ?

I am satisfied that it is a fit case for grant of ex parte ad interim injunction. Balance of convenience is also in favour of the plaintiff. Prima facie the plaintiff has been able to establish that plaintiff has the exclusive copyright over the film ?Bittoo Boss? which

is yet to be??released. In case, CDs/ DVDs/Blue-ray discs/VCDs are made and the film is copied by using any other device and uploaded on internet by the defendant nos. 1 to 5 and other unidentified persons and distributed and shown on cable TV, DTH, internet, MMS, Tapes and CAS, the purpose of filing of the present suit shall be defeated and the plaintiff will suffer irreparable loss and injury.

For the forgoing reasons, defendants, their partners, proprietors, directors, shareholder, officers, servants, agents, representatives, franchisees, nominees and other unnamed and undisclosed persons, are restrained from communicating without license or displaying, releasing showing, uploading, downloading, exhibiting, playing, and/or defraying the

CS(OS) 937/2012 3/4

movie ?Bittoo Boss? in any manner without a proper license from the??plaintiff or in any other manner which would violate/infringe the plaintiff?s copyright in the said cinematograph film ?Bittoo Boss? through different mediums like CD, DVD, Blue-ray disc, VCD, Cable TV, DTH, Internet services, MMS, Pen drives, Hard drives, Tapes, CAS or in any other like manner.

Compliance of Order 39 Rule 3 be made within a week.

Copy of the order be given Dasti under the signatures of Court Master.

??

??

G.S.SISTANI, J

**APRIL 11, 2012** 

ssn

CS(OS) 937/2012 4/4

33

\$